

2.28 Example

The list $(1, -1, 0), (1, 0, -1)$ is a basis of $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^3$

$$x+y+z=0\}$$

$$(x, y, z) = (x, y, -x-y)$$

$$= x(1, 0, -1) + y(0, 1, -1)$$

$\therefore (1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)$ spans U .

Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfy

$$a(1, 0, -1) + b(0, 1, -1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$(a, b, -a-b) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\therefore a=b=0$$

\therefore the list $(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)$ is L.I.

$\therefore (1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)$ is a basis of U .

• The list $1, z, \dots, z^m$ is a basis of $P_m(\mathbb{F})$.

Every polynomial $p \in P_m(\mathbb{F})$ is written

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_m z^m,$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, for all $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$.

Notice that $p(z)$ is a linear combination of $1, z, z^2, \dots, z^m$.

Also, for example.

$1, z+3, (z+3)^2, \dots, (z+3)^m$ is a basis of $P_m(\mathbb{F})$

Another example,

$1, z-b, (z-b)^2$ is a basis of $P_2(\mathbb{F})$

2C Dimension

Recall 2.23 from 2B

2.23: Length of linearly independent list \leq length of spanning list in a finite-dimensional vector space.

2.35: The length of a basis of a vector space does not depend on the basis

Any two bases of a finite-dimensional vector space have the same length (same number of vectors in the bases)

Proof:

Suppose V is a finite-dimensional vector space,

Let $B_1 = v_1, \dots, v_m$ and $B_2 = w_1, \dots, w_n$ be two bases of V .

Then, by 2.23 of Axler, the length of B_1 is less than or equal to the length of B_2 .

Interchange (swap) the roles of B_1 and B_2 . By 2.23 Axler, the length of B_2 is less than or equal to the length of B_1 .

In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length of } B_1 &\leq \text{length of } B_2 \\ \text{and, length of } B_2 &\leq \text{length of } B_1 \\ \therefore \text{length of } B_2 &= \text{length of } B_1 \\ \therefore \text{the two bases have the same length.} \end{aligned}$$

2.36 Definition

The dimension of a finite-dimensional vector space V is the length of any basis B of V . (Denoted $\dim V$)

2.37 Example

• $\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n$ because the length of any basis of \mathbb{F}^n

- is n (any basis of \mathbb{F}^n contains n elements).
- $\dim P_m(\mathbb{F}) = m+1$ because, for example, $1, z, z^2, \dots, z^m$ is a basis of $P_m(\mathbb{F})$ and the length of the basis is $m+1$.

2.38 Dimension of a subspace.

If V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V , then $\dim U \leq \dim V$

Proof:

Since V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V , by 2.26 Axler, U is also finite-dimensional. By 2.32 of Axler, there exist a basis of U and a basis of V . This means in particular, that the basis of U is a linearly independent list in V and the basis of V is a spanning list of V .

Recall from 2.23: Length of linearly independent list \leq length of spanning list.

The length of our linearly independent list in U is $\dim U$. Likewise, the length of our spanning list in V is $\dim V$, $\therefore \dim U \leq \dim V$.

2.39 Linearly independent list of the right length is a basis

Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then every linearly independent list of vectors in V with length $\dim V$ is a basis of V .

Proof: Suppose $\dim V = n$. Let v_1, \dots, v_n be a linearly

independent list in V . By 2.33 of Axler, we can extend v_1, \dots, v_n , if necessary to a basis of V .

But every basis of V has length n . Since v_1, \dots, v_n already has length n , in this case, we do not need to extend to a basis of V . This means, v_1, \dots, v_n is itself a basis of V .

2.40 Example

Show that the list $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}^2 ?

Proof:

We will show that $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is linearly independent.

Suppose $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfy

$$a_1(5, 7) + a_2(4, 3) = (0, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow (5a_1 + 4a_2, 7a_1 + 3a_2) = (0, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\therefore (5, 7), (4, 3)$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{F}^2

Since $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ has length 2 and $\dim \mathbb{F}^2 = 2$,

by 2.39 of Axler, we conclude that $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}^2 .

2.41 Example

Show that $1, (x-5)^2, (x-5)^3$ is a basis of the subspace U of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$U = \{D \in P_3(\mathbb{R}) : D(5) = 0\}$$

Proof: Let $p_1(x) = 1$. Then $p_1'(x) = 0$ so $p_1'(5) = 0$
and so $p_1 \in U$.

Let $p_2(x) = (x-5)^2$. Then $p_2'(x) = 2(x-5)$
 $\therefore p_2'(5) = 0$, and so $p_2 = (x-5)^2 \in U$.

Let $p_3(x) = (x-5)^3$. Then $p_3'(x) = 3(x-5)^2$
 $\therefore p_3'(5) = 0$, and so $p_3 = (x-5)^3 \in U$
 $\therefore 1, (x-5)^2, (x-5)^3 \in U$.

• Next, suppose $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$a + b(x-5)^2 + c(x-5)^3 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Notice that the left-hand side of the above equation
contains the cx^3 term, but the right hand side not

$$\therefore c = 0$$

LHS has bx^2 term, RHS not, $\therefore b = 0$

Since $b = 0$, $c = 0$ \therefore implies $a = 0$

So $1, (x-5)^2, (x-5)^3$ is linearly independent.

Since the length of $1, (x-5)^2, (x-5)^3$ is 3.

and $\dim U = 3$ by 2.37.

• Note that $\dim U$ is at most 4, but it cannot
equal 4 because if $\dim U = 4$, then we can extend
a basis of U to a basis of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, which would
produce a list with length greater than 4
So $\dim U = 3$.

2.42 Spanning list of the right length is a basis.

Suppose V is a finite-dimensional vector space. Then

every spanning list of vectors in V with length $\dim V$ is a basis of V .

Proof:

Suppose $\dim V = n$ and v_1, \dots, v_n spans V .

By 2.31 Axler, we can reduce to a basis of V .

But every basis of V has length n , so in this case we do not need to reduce anything;

we do not need to remove any elements of v_1, \dots, v_n .

Therefore, v_1, \dots, v_n itself is a basis of V .

2.43 Dimension of a sum

If U_1 and U_2 are subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space V , then

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$$

Proof:

Let $m = \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$ and let u_1, \dots, u_m be a basis of $U_1 \cap U_2$. Then it is linearly independent in U_1 . So by 2.33, we can extend this list to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ of U_1 , which means $\dim U_1 = m + j$.

Similarly, u_1, \dots, u_m is linearly independent in U_2 , so by 2.33, we can extend this list to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$ of U_2 , which means $\dim U_2 = m + k$.

We will prove that the list $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a basis of $U_1 + U_2$. We have $U_1, U_2 \subset \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k)$ which means

v_j, \dots, w_k

$$\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k) = U_1 + U_2$$

So the dimensions of $U_1 + U_2$ and $\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k)$ are equal. If $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is L.I. by 2.39, it would be a basis.

Discussion Notes.

Re-explain Example 2.41

Why does $U = \{p \in P_3(\mathbb{R}) : p'(5) = 0\}$ have dimension 3?

Since we proved $1, (x-5)^2, (x-5)^3$ is L.I. in U .

$\dim U$ is 3 or 4.

Since U is a subspace of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, by 2.38 of Axler.

$3 \leq \dim U \leq \dim P_3(\mathbb{R}) = 4$ (if U is a subspace of V , then $\dim U \leq \dim V$).

However, $q = x-5 \in P_3(\mathbb{R})$ But $q = x-5 \notin U$ because

$$q'(5) = 1 \quad (q'(5) \neq 0 \therefore q \notin U)$$

We found a polynomial such as $x-5$ that is in $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ but not in U .

Therefore, $U \neq P_3(\mathbb{R})$

This means we have

$$3 \leq \dim U < \dim P_3(\mathbb{R}) = 4$$

So we conclude $\dim U = 3$

2.43 Prove

$u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is L.I.

Suppose $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = 0$

Need to prove $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_j = c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is a basis of U , we have

$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j \in U$

Since $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \in U$, we have.

$$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \in U_2$$

$$\therefore c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \in U_1 \cap U_2$$

Since we introduced u_1, \dots, u_m to be a basis of $U_1 \cap U_2$ we can write $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_m u_m$ for some $d_1, \dots, d_m \in F$. This means,

$$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k - d_1 u_1 - \dots - d_m u_m = 0$$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is linearly independent, all the scalars are zero;

$$c_1 = 0, \dots, c_k = 0, d_1 = 0, \dots, d_m = 0$$

In particular, $c_1 = 0, \dots, c_k = 0$

So, the original eq.

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = 0$$

reduces to

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j = 0$$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is a basis of U_1 , it is linearly independent, so

$$a_1 = 0, \dots, a_m = 0, b_1 = 0, \dots, b_j = 0$$

So all scalars are zero.

So $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is LI.

By 2.39, it is also a basis of $U_1 + U_2$

$$\therefore \text{We have } \dim(U_1 + U_2) = m + j + k$$

$$= (m + j) + (m + k) - m$$

$$= \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$$