

Proof: Next, we will show $U \cap W = \{0\}$

Suppose $v \in U \cap W$. Then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m = b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n$

Subtracting we get

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m - b_1 w_1 - \dots - b_n w_n = 0$$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ are linearly indep., all the scalars are zero

$$a_1 = 0, \dots, a_m = 0, -b_1 = 0, \dots, -b_n = 0.$$

$$(b_1 = 0, \dots, b_n = 0).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } v &= a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m \\ &= 0u_1 + \dots + 0u_m \\ &= 0 \in \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } v &= b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n \\ &= 0w_1 + \dots + 0w_n \\ &= 0 \in \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U \cap W \subset \{0\}$

so $U \cap W = \{0\}$, as desired

2.C Dimension

We need to recall 2.2.3 of Axler section 2.B

2.23: Length of lin indep. list $\leq$ length of spanning list in a finite-dimensional vector space

2.35 The length of a basis of a vector space does not depend on the basis

Any two bases of a finite dimensional vector space have the same length (same number of vectors in the bases)

Proof: Suppose V is a finite dim vector space, $B_1 = v_1, \dots, v_m$

Let $B_1 = v_1, \dots, v_m$ and $B_2 = w_1, \dots, w_n$

$B_2 = w_1, \dots, w_n$

be two bases of V . Then, by 2.23 of Axler, $v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ the length of B_1 is less than or equal to the length of B_2 .

Interchange (swap) the roles of B_1 & B_2 . By 2.23 of Axler, the length of B_2 is $\leq$ the length of B_1 .

In other words, we have length of $B_1 \leq$ length of B_2 and length of $B_2 \leq$ length of B_1

Therefore, length of $B_1 =$ length of B_2 .

In other words, the two bases have the same length.

2.36 Definition:

The Dimension of a finite-dimensional vector space V is the length of any basis B of V .

The dimension of V is denoted $\dim V$.

2.32 Example

- $\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n$ b/c the length of any basis of $\mathbb{F}^n$ is n (any basis of $\mathbb{F}^n$ contains n elements)
- $\dim P_m(\mathbb{F}) = m+1$ because, for example, $1, z, z^2, \dots, z^m$ is a basis of $P_m(\mathbb{F})$ and the length of the basis is $m+1$.

2.38 Dimension of a subspace

If U is finite dimensional & U is a subspace of V , then $\dim U \leq \dim V$

Proof: Since V is finite dim. & U is a subspace of V , by 2.26 of Axler U is also finite-dim. By 2.32 of Axler, there exist a basis of U and a basis of V . This means in particular, that the basis of U is a lin indep. list in U & the basis of V is a spanning list of V . Recall from 2.2.3: length of lin indep. list $\leq$ length of spanning list, ^{of Axler}

The length of our lin indep. list in U is $\dim U$. Likewise, the length of our spanning list in V is $\dim V$. Therefore, $\dim U \leq \dim V$

Useful Result!

2.39 lin. indep. list of the right length is a basis

Suppose V is finite-dim. Then every lin. indep. list of vectors in V w/ length $\dim V$ is a basis of V .

Proof: Suppose $\dim V = n$. Let $v_1, \dots, v_n$ be a lin indep. list in V

By 2.33 of Axler, we can extend $v_1, \dots, v_n$ to a basis of V .

But every basis of V has length n . (if necessary)

Since $v_1, \dots, v_n$ already has length n in this case, we do not need to extend to a basis of V . This means, $v_1, \dots, v_n$ is itself a basis of V .

2.40 Example

Show that the list $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}^2$.

Proof: we will show that $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is lin indep.

Suppose $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfy $a_1(5, 7) + a_2(4, 3) = (0, 0)$

Then we have, $(5a_1 + 4a_2, 7a_1 + 3a_2) = (0, 0)$

Equate the coordinates to get the system of eqns.

$$5a_1 + 4a_2 = 0$$

$$7a_1 + 3a_2 = 0$$

system solve to get $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0$

So the list $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is lin indep. in $\mathbb{F}^2$

Since $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ has length of 2 & $\dim \mathbb{F}^2 = 2$,

by 2.39 of Axler, we conclude that $(5, 7), (4, 3)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^2$

2.41 Example

Show that $1, (x-s)^2, (x-s)^3$ is a basis of the subspace U of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ defined by
 $U = \{p \in P_3(\mathbb{R}) : p'(s) = 0\}$

Proof: Let $p_1(x) = 1$. Then $p_1'(x) = 0$, so $p_1'(s) = 0$.

So $p_1 = 1 \in U$

Let $p_2(x) = (x-s)^2$. Then $p_2' = (x-s)$

so $p_2'(s) = 0$ and so $p_2 = (x-s)^2 \in U$.

Let $p_3(x) = (x-s)^3$. Then $p_3'(x) = (x-s)^2$

so $p_3'(s) = 0$, and so $p_3 = (x-s)^3 \in U$

Therefore, $1, (x-s)^2, (x-s)^3 \in U$.

Next, suppose $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $a + b(x-s)^2 + c(x-s)^3 = 0$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that left-hand side of the above eqn contains the cx^3 term, but the right-hand side does not. So $c = 0$.

Similarly, the left-hand side contains the bx^2 term, but the right-hand side does not so $b = 0$.

Since $b = 0$ & $c = 0$, the above eqn implies $a = 0$.

2.42 spanning list of the right length is a basis

Suppose V is a finite dim vector space. Then every spanning list of vectors in V w/ length $\dim V$ is a basis of V .

continued proof for 2.41

So $1, (x-s)^2, (x-s)^3$ is lin indep.

Since the length of $1, (x-s)^2, (x-s)^3$ is 3 and $\dim U = 3$, by 2.39

$1, (x-s)^2, (x-s)^3$ is a basis of U . Note that $\dim U$ is at most 4, but it cannot equal 4 b/c if $\dim U = 4$, then we can extend a basis of U to a basis of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, which would produce a list w/ length greater than 4. So $\dim U = 3$.

Now back to 2.42

Proof: Suppose $\dim V = n$ & $v_1, \dots, v_n$ spans V . By 2.31 of Axler, we can reduce (if necessary) to a basis of V . But every basis of V has length n , so in this case we do not need to reduce anything, we do not need to remove any elements of $v_1, \dots, v_n$. Therefore, $v_1, \dots, v_n$ itself is a basis of V .

2.43 Dimension of a sum

If U_1 & U_2 are subspaces of a finite-dim. vector space V , then
 $\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$

Proof:

Let $m = \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$, and let $u_1, \dots, u_m$ be a basis of $U_1 \cap U_2$. Then it is lin indep. in U_1 . So by 2.33 of Axler, we can extend this list to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ of U_1 , which means $\dim U_1 = m + j$. Similarly, $u_1, \dots, u_m$ is lin indep. in U_2 . So by 2.33 of Axler, we can extend this list to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$ of U_2 which means $\dim U_2 = m + k$.

We will prove that the list $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a basis of $U_1 + U_2$. We have $U_1, U_2 \subset \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k)$, which means $\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k) = U_1 + U_2$. So the dimensions of $U_1 + U_2$ is $\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k)$ are equal. If $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is lin indep. then by 2.39 of Axler it would be a basis. Prove that $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is lin indep.

Re-explain example 2.41: Why does $U = \{p \in P_3(\mathbb{R}) : p'(5) = 0\}$ have dimension 3?

Since we proved $1, (x-5)^2, (x-5)^3$ is lin indep. in U , $\dim U$ is 3 or 4

Since U is a subspace of $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, by 2.38 of Axler $3 \leq \dim U \leq \dim P_3(\mathbb{R}) = 4$ (If U is a subspace of V , then $\dim U \leq \dim V$)

However, $q = x - 5 \in P_3(\mathbb{R})$, BUT $q = x - 5 \notin U$ b/c P
 $q'(x) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} q'(5) &= 1 \\ (q'(5) &\neq 0) \\ \text{So } q &\notin U. \end{aligned}$$

We found a polynomial such as $x - 5$ that is in $P_3(\mathbb{R})$ but not in U .

Therefore, $U \neq P_3(\mathbb{R}) = 4$

So we conclude $\dim U = 3$

Back to 2.43

Suppose $a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = 0$

Need to prove: $a_1 = 0, \dots, a_m = 0, b_1 = 0, \dots, b_j = 0, c_1 = 0, \dots, c_k = 0$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is a basis of U_1 , we have

$$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = -a_1 u_1 - \dots - a_m u_m - b_1 v_1 - \dots - b_j v_j \in U_1$$

Since $w_1, \dots, w_k \in U_2$ we have $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \in U_2$ So

$$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \in U_1 \cap U_2$$

Since we introduced $u_1, \dots, u_m$ to be a basis of $U \cap U_2$, we can write

$$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_m u_m$$

for some $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{F}$. This means

$$c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k - d_1 u_1 - \dots - d_m u_m = 0$$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is lin indep, all the scalars are zero; $c_1 = 0, \dots, c_k = 0, d_1 = 0, \dots, d_m = 0$. In particular

$$c_1 = 0, \dots, c_k = 0.$$

So the original eqn,

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k = 0$$

reduces to

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j = 0$$

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is a basis of U_1 , it is

lin indep, so $a_1 = 0, \dots, a_m = 0, b_1 = 0, \dots, b_j = 0$.

So all scalars are zero. So $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$

is lin indep. Then by 2.39 of Axler, it is also a basis of $U_1 + U_2$.

Therefore, we have

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = m + j + k$$

$$= (m + j) + (m + k) - m$$

$$= \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$$