

07-09-19

3.B Null Spaces and Ranges

If we have $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then the nullspace of T is the subset of V consisting of vectors in V that T maps to 0 :

$$\text{null } T = \{v \in V : Tv = 0\}$$

3.13 Example

- consider the zero map $0 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. For all $v \in V$, we have

$$0v = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{null } 0 &= \{v \in V : 0v = 0\} \\ &= V \end{aligned}$$

- Define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\varphi(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_1 + 2z_2 + 3z_3$$

Then we have

$$\text{null } \varphi = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \varphi(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0\}$$

$$= \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_1 + 2z_2 + 3z_3 = 0\}$$

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (-2z_2 - 3z_3, z_2, z_3)$$

$$= (-2z_2, z_2, 0) + (-3z_3, 0, z_3)$$

$$= z_2(-2, 1, 0) + z_3(-3, 0, 1)$$

so $(-2, 1, 0), (-3, 0, 1)$ spans $\text{null } \varphi$

Then prove that $(-2, 1, 0), (-3, 0, 1)$ is linearly independent.

so $(-2, 1, 0), (-3, 0, 1)$ is a basis of $\text{null } \varphi$

- Let $D \in \mathcal{L}(P(\mathbb{R}), P(\mathbb{R}))$ be the differentiation map defined by

$$Dp = p'$$

Then we have

$$\text{null } D = \{p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : p'(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

$$= \{p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : p'(z) = c \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{F}, \text{ for some constant } c\}$$

$$= \{p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : p \text{ is a constant function}\}$$

• Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(P(\mathbb{R}), P(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$(Tp)(x) = x^2 p(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null } T &= \{ p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : Tp = 0 \} \\ &= \{ p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : (Tp)(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \} \\ &= \{ p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : x^2 p(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \} \\ &= \{ p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : p(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \} \\ &= \{ p \in P(\mathbb{R}) : p = 0 \} \\ &= \{ 0 \}\end{aligned}$$

The only polynomial that satisfies

$$x^2 p(x) = 0$$

$$\text{is } p = 0$$

3.14 Null space is a subspace

suppose we have $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then $\text{null } T$ is a subspace of V

Proof: ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~

• Additive identity

Since we have $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, it follows that $T: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map. By 3.11 of Axler, we have $T(0) = 0$

Therefore, $0 \in \text{null } T$.

• Closed under addition

Suppose we have $u, v \in \text{null } T$. Then we have $Tu = 0$ and $Tv = 0$.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}T(u+v) &= Tu + Tv \\ &= 0 + 0 \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u+v \in \text{null } T$.

• Closed under scalar multiplication

Suppose we have $u \in \text{null } T$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $Tu = 0$ so we have

$$\begin{aligned}T(\lambda u) &= \lambda Tu \\ &= \lambda \cdot 0 \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lambda u \in \text{null } T$.

So we conclude that $\text{null } T$ is a subspace of V .

3.15 Definition

A function $T: V \rightarrow W$ is called injective if $Tu = Tv$ implies $u = v$ for all $u, v \in V$.

3.16 Injectivity is equivalent to null space equals $\{0\}$

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then T is injective if and only if $\text{null } T = \{0\}$

Proof: Forward direction: If T is injective, then $\text{null } T = \{0\}$

Suppose T is injective. Since T is also linear, we have $T(0) = 0$ which means $0 \in \text{null } T$, and so $\{0\} \subset \text{null } T$

~~we~~ we will prove $\text{null } T \subset \{0\}$. Suppose $v \in \text{null } T$.

Then $Tv = 0$. But we also have $T(0) = 0$

~~Therefore~~

Therefore, $Tv = T(0)$, ($Tv = 0 = T(0)$)

Since T is injective, $v = 0$. In other words, $v \in \{0\}$

So $\text{null } T \subset \{0\}$. so we get the set equality $\text{null } T = \{0\}$

Backward direction: If $\text{null } T = \{0\}$, then T is injective

Suppose $\text{null } T = \{0\}$. Suppose $u, v \in V$ satisfy $Tu = Tv$

Then we have

$$0 = Tu - Tv$$

$$= T(u - v)$$

Therefore, $u - v \in \text{null } T$. But $\text{null } T = \{0\}$. So $u - v \in \{0\}$,

which implies $u - v = 0$, or equivalently, $u = v$.

So T is injective.

Range and Subjectivity

3.17 Definition

The range of a function $T: V \rightarrow W$ is a subset of W consisting of all vectors of the form Tv for some $v \in V$ and is denoted

$$\text{range } T = \{Tv : v \in V\}$$

3.18 Example

- Consider the zero map $0: V \rightarrow W$. Then $0v = 0$ for all $v \in V$.
So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{range } 0 &= \{0v : v \in V\} \\ &= \{0 : v \in V\} \\ &= \{0\}\end{aligned}$$

- Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$T(x, y) = (2x, 5y, x+y)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{range } T &= \{T(x, y) : (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2\} \\ &= \{(2x, 5y, x+y) : (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}\end{aligned}$$

A basis of range T is $(2, 0, 1), (0, 5, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}T(x, y) &= (2x, 5y, x+y) = (2x, 0, x) + (0, 5y, y) \\ &= x(2, 0, 1) + y(0, 5, 1)\end{aligned}$$

So $(2, 0, 1), (0, 5, 1)$ spans range T .

Show that $(2, 0, 1), (0, 5, 1)$ is also linearly independent

Then $(2, 0, 1), (0, 5, 1)$ is a basis of range T

- Let $D \in \mathcal{L}(P(\mathbb{R}), P(\mathbb{R}))$ be the differentiation map defined by

$$Dp = p'$$

For each polynomial $q \in P(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a polynomial

$p \in P(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfies $p' = q$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{range } D &= \{Dp : p \in P(\mathbb{R})\} \\ &= \{p' : p \in P(\mathbb{R})\} \\ &= \{q : q \in P(\mathbb{R})\} \\ &= P(\mathbb{R})\end{aligned}$$

3.19 The range $\bullet$ is a subspace: If $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, then $\text{range } T$ is a subspace of W

Proof: $\bullet$ Additive identity

Suppose we have $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then by 3.11 of Axler, we have $T(0) = 0$. So $0 \in \text{range } T$

$\bullet$ closed under addition

Suppose $w_1, w_2 \in \text{range } T$. Then $w_1 = Tv_1$ and $w_2 = Tv_2$ for some $v_1, v_2 \in V$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(v_1 + v_2) &= Tv_1 + Tv_2 \\ &= w_1 + w_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_1 + v_2 \in V$, it follows that we have $w_1 + w_2 \in \text{range } T$

$\bullet$ closed under multiplication

Suppose $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and $w \in \text{range } T$. Then $w = Tv$ for some $v \in V$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\lambda v) &= \lambda Tv \\ &= \lambda w \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda v \in V$, it follows that we have $\lambda w \in \text{range } T$

So $\text{range } T$ is a subspace of W

3.22 Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps

Suppose V is a finite-dimensional vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$

Then $\text{range } T$ is finite-dimensional and

$$\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T$$

3.20 Definition

A function $T: V \rightarrow W$ is surjective if its range equals W ; that is,

$$\text{range } T = W$$

Proof: Let $u_1, \dots, u_m$ be a basis of $\text{null } T$. The $\dim(\text{null } T) = m$

Also, $u_1, \dots, u_m$ is a linearly independent list. By 2.33 of Axler, we can extend the list to a basis

$$u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$$

of V . Then $\dim V = m + n$

So we need to just show that $\text{range } T$ is finite-dimensional with $\dim(\text{range } T) = n$

To accomplish this goal, we need to show that $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n$ is a basis of $\text{range } T$.

Let $v \in V$ be arbitrary. Since $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$ is a basis of V , it spans V . So ~~there~~ we can write

$$v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n$$

for some $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$. So we have

$$Tv = T(a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{additivity} \\ \text{of } T \end{array} \right) = T(a_1 u_1) + \dots + T(a_m u_m) + T(b_1 v_1) + \dots + T(b_n v_n)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{homogeneity} \\ \text{of } T \end{array} \right) = a_1 T u_1 + \dots + a_m T u_m + b_1 T v_1 + \dots + b_n T v_n$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} u_1, \dots, u_m \in \text{null } T \\ u_1, \dots, u_m \text{ is a basis of null } T \end{array} \right) = a_1 \cdot 0 + \dots + a_m \cdot 0 + b_1 T v_1 + \dots + b_n T v_n$$

$$= b_1 T v_1 + \dots + b_n T v_n$$

Therefore, the list $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n$ spans $\text{range } T$.

Since we found a list that spans $\text{range } T$,

we conclude that $\text{range } T$ is finite-dimensional.

Now we will show that $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n$ is linearly independent.

Suppose $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ that satisfy

$$c_1 T v_1 + \dots + c_n T v_n = 0$$

Then we have

$$0 = c_1 T v_1 + \dots + c_n T v_n$$

$$= T(c_1 v_1) + \dots + T(c_n v_n)$$

$$= T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n)$$

Therefore, $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n \in \text{null } T$.

Since $u_1, \dots, u_m$ is a basis of $\text{null } T$, it spans $\text{null } T$,

so we can write

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{vector in null } T \\ \uparrow \end{array} \boxed{c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n} = d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_m u_m$$

for some $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{F}$. So we get

$$\cancel{c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n} - d_1 v_1 - \dots - d_m u_m + c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n = 0$$

But $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$ is a basis of V so it is linearly independent in V . So all scalars are zero

$$-d_1 = 0, \dots, -d_m = 0, c_1 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$$

In particular,

$$c_1 = 0, \dots, c_n = 0$$

So $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n$ is linearly independent.

So it is a basis of $\text{range of } T$. So $\dim(\text{range } T) = n$.

In summary, we have

$$\dim V = m + n$$

$$\dim(\text{null } T) = m$$

$$\dim(\text{range } T) = n$$

Therefore

$$\dim V = m + n$$

$$= \dim(\text{null } T) + \dim(\text{range } T)$$

as desired.

3.23 A map to a smaller dimensional space is not injective

Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces that satisfy $\dim V > \dim W$. Then no linear map from V to W is injective.

Proof: suppose ~~that~~ that we have $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$

Then, by the fundamental theorem of Linear Maps

(3.22 of Axler), we have

$$\dim(\text{null } T) = \dim V - \dim(\text{range } T)$$

range T is a subspace of W

by 2.38 of Axler

$\Rightarrow$

$$\dim(\text{range } T) \leq \dim W$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \dim V - \dim W \\ & > \dim W - \dim W \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{null } T \neq \{0\}$. By 3.16 of Axler, T is not injective

3.24 A map to a larger dimensional space is not surjective

Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional vector spaces that satisfy $\dim V < \dim W$. Then no linear map from V to W is surjective.

Proof: Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then, by the Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps (3.22 of Axler), we have

$$\dim(\text{range } T) = \dim V - \dim \text{null } T$$

by 2.38
Axler $\Rightarrow \{0\} \subset \text{null } T$

$$\dim \{0\} \leq \dim \text{null } T$$

$$0 \leq \dim \text{null } T$$

$$(\dim \text{null } T \geq 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \dim V = 0 \\ & = \dim V \end{aligned}$$

$$< \dim W$$

By Exercise 2.41 of Axler, $\text{range } T \neq W$.

Therefore T is not surjective

3.25 Example

fix positive integers m, n . Consider the homogeneous system of linear equations

$$\text{a system of } m \text{ equations} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} j\text{-th equation} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n A_{j,k} x_k = 0 \end{array}$$

for some $A_{j,k} \in \mathbb{F}$, for $j=1, \dots, m$ and for $k=1, \dots, n$

Rephrase in terms of a linear map the equation of whether this system of equations has a nonzero solution

Proof: Define $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ by

$$\underbrace{T(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\substack{n \\ \text{coordinates}}} = \left(\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k}_{\substack{m \\ \text{coordinates}}} \right)$$

Then the equation $\left(\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k, \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k \right)$

$$\underbrace{T(0, \dots, 0)}_n = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_m$$

is equivalent to the homogeneous system of equations

Note that

$(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$ is a solution to the homogeneous system of equations. This is equivalent to saying

$$\underbrace{T(0, \dots, 0)}_n = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_m$$

$$x_1 = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n = 0$$

There exist nonzero solutions $x_1, \dots, x_n$ to the homogeneous system of equations if and only if

$$\text{null } T \neq \{0\}$$

In other words, if and only if there exist

$x_1, \dots, x_n$, not all zero such that $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \text{null } T$.

3.26 Homogeneous system of linear equations

A homogeneous system of linear equations with more variables than equations has ~~non~~ non zero solutions.

Example

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{2,k} x_k = 0$$

And x_1, x_2, x_3 solve the system of equations, then at least one of x_1, x_2, x_3 is non zero.

Proof: Again define $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ by

$$T(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_n}_n) = (\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k}_m)$$

Then we have a homogeneous system of m linear equations with n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Since there are more variables than there are equations - ~~there are more variables than there are equations~~ we have $\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n > m = \dim \mathbb{F}^m$

By 3.23 of Axler, $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ is not injective

By 3.16 of Axler, $\text{null } T \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$. So

there exist non zero solutions ~~of the system~~ of the system of equations

3.27 Example

Rephrase in terms of a linear map the question of whether the in-homogeneous system of linear equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k = c_1$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k = c_m$$

for any $A_{j,k} \in \mathbb{F}$ ($j=1, \dots, m$ and $k=1, \dots, n$) and for some $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}$, has no solution

Define $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ by

$$T(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_n}_n \text{ coordinates}) = (\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k}_m \text{ coordinates})$$

Then the equation

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$$

is equivalent to the inhomogeneous system of equations

3.29 Inhomogeneous system of linear equations

An inhomogeneous system of linear equations with more equations than variables has no solution for some choice of constant terms.

proof: Define $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ by

$$T(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_n}_n) = (\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k}_m)$$

Since there are more equations than there are variables we have

$$\dim \mathbb{F}^n = n < m = \dim \mathbb{F}^m$$

By 3.24 of Axler, $T: \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ is NOT surjective.

In other words, *

$$\text{range } T \neq \mathbb{F}^m$$

So there exist $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \text{range } T$ such that

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq (c_1, \dots, c_m)$$

So we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{1,k} x_k \neq c_1$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{m,k} x_k \neq c_m$$

which means the system of inhomogeneous equations with this choice of $c_1, \dots, c_m$ does NOT contain any solutions.