

3E. Products and Quotients of Vector Spaces

3.71 product of vector spaces

Suppose V_1, \dots, V_m are vector spaces over \mathbb{F}

- The product $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$ is defined by

$$V_1 \times \dots \times V_m = \{(v_1, \dots, v_m) : v_1 \in V_1, \dots, v_m \in V_m\}$$

- Addition on $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$ is defined by

$$(u_1, \dots, u_m) + (v_1, \dots, v_m) = (u_1 + v_1, \dots, u_m + v_m)$$

- Scalar multiplication on $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$ is defined by

$$\lambda(v_1, \dots, v_m) = (\lambda v_1, \dots, \lambda v_m)$$

3.73 Product of vector spaces is a vector space

Suppose V_1, \dots, V_m are vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . Then $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$ is a vector space over \mathbb{F} .

Proof: Let $u_i, v_i, w_i \in V_i$ for each $i=1, \dots, m$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

3.74 example Is $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^5

$$T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$$

First, injective.

Let $((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) \in \text{null } T$ which means

$$T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\text{we have } (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$$

$$\text{So, } x_1 = \dots = x_5 = 0$$

$$\text{This means } ((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$\text{So } \text{null } T \subset \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}$$

$$T((0, 0), (0, 0, 0)) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

we also have $\{(0, 0), (0, 0, 0)\} \subset \text{null } T$.

$$\text{Therefore, } \text{null } T = \{(0, 0), (0, 0, 0)\}$$

By 3.16, T is injective

Next, we will show that T is surjective.

For all $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5$, we have $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) \in \text{range } T$.

So $\mathbb{R}^5 \subset \text{range } T$. But $\text{range } T$ is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^5 . So

$\text{range } T = \mathbb{R}^5$, So T is surjective.

Therefore, by 3.56, T is invertible.

Next, we will show that T is linear

• Additivity: For all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5 \in \mathbb{R}$

We have $T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) + T((y_1, y_2), (y_3, y_4, y_5))$

$$= T((x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2), (x_3 + y_3, x_4 + y_4, x_5 + y_5))$$

$$= (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3, x_4 + y_4, x_5 + y_5)$$

$$= T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) + T((y_1, y_2), (y_3, y_4, y_5))$$

• Homogeneity: For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ and for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$T(\lambda(x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5)) = T((\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2), (\lambda x_3, \lambda x_4, \lambda x_5))$$

$$= (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3, \lambda x_4, \lambda x_5) = \lambda T((x_1, x_2), (x_3, x_4, x_5))$$

Therefore, T is linear.

So, T is linear and invertible

Hence, T is an isomorphism.

3.75 Example

Find a basis of $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^2$

* Solⁿ: $(1, (0, 0)), (x, (0, 0)), (x^2, (0, 0)), (0, (1, 0)), (0, (0, 1))$

3.76 \dim of a product is the sum of dimensions

$$\dim(V_1 \times \dots \times V_m) = \dim V_1 + \dots + \dim V_m$$

Products and Direct Sums

3.77 Product and Direct Sums

Suppose that U_1, \dots, U_m are subspaces of V . Define a linear map

$$\Gamma: U_1 \times \dots \times U_m \rightarrow U_1 + \dots + U_m \text{ by}$$

$$\Gamma(u_1, \dots, u_m) = u_1 + \dots + u_m$$

Then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum iff Γ is injective.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) if $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum, then Γ is injective.

Suppose $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \text{null } \Gamma$ so that

$$\Gamma(u_1, \dots, u_m) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Since $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum, by 1.44, the only way to write $\vec{0}$ is

to take $u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$.

So $(u_1, \dots, u_m) = \vec{0}$ and so $\text{null } \Gamma = \{\vec{0}\}$ Hence injective.

(\Leftarrow) If Γ is injective, then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum.

Since Γ is injective, by 3.16 we have $\text{null } \Gamma = \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}$

So the only way to write $0 + \dots + 0$ is to take

$$u_1 = 0, \dots, u_m = 0$$

By 1.44, $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum.

□

3.78 A sum is a direct sum iff dimensions add up.

Suppose V is finite-dimensional and U_1, \dots, U_m are subspaces of V .

Then $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum iff

$$\dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m) = \dim U_1 + \dots + \dim U_m$$

Proof: $\Gamma: U_1 \times \dots \times U_m \rightarrow U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(u_1, \dots, u_m) = u_1 + \dots + u_m \text{ is surjective}$$

$$\dim \text{range } \Gamma = \dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m)$$

$$\text{So by 3.27, } \dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m) = \dim(U_1 \times \dots \times U_m) - \dim \text{null } \Gamma$$

$$\dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m) = \dim(U_1 \times \dots \times U_m)$$

iff Γ is injective.

Combine 3.77 and 3.76 that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is a direct sum

$$\text{iff } \dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m) = \dim(U_1 \times \dots \times U_m)$$

$$= \dim U_1 + \dots + \dim U_m$$

$$\text{3.78 } \dim(U_1 + \dots + U_m) = \dim U_1 + \dots + \dim U_m$$

3.79 $v \in V$ and $U \subset V$ Then $v + U$ is the subset of V

$$\text{defined by } v + U = \{v + u : u \in U\}$$

3.80 ex: let $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $U = \{(x, 2x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Then U is the line in \mathbb{R}^2 thru. the origin with slope 2.

So $(3, 1) + U$ is a line in \mathbb{R}^2 that contains the point $(3, 1)$ and has slope 2, and $(-4, 0) + U$ is a line in \mathbb{R}^2 that contains the point $(-4, 0)$ and has slope 2.

$$(3, 1) + U = \{(3, 1) + (x, 2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{(3+x, 1+2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$(-4, 0) + U = \{(-4, 0) + (x, 2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{(-4+x, 2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Since $(7, 0)$ and $(17, 20)$ have the same slope, $(7, 0) + U = (17, 20) + U$.

Proof: $(17, 20) + U = \{(17+x, 20+2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$$(7, 0) + U = \{(7+x, 2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$= \{(17-10+x, 20-20+2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$= \{(17+(x-10), 20+2(x-10)) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Since $x \in \mathbb{R}, x-10 \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \{(17+y, 20+2y) : y \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$$= (17, 0) + U$$

Hence, proved.

3.81 • An **affine** subset of V is a subset of V of the form $v+U$ for some $v \in V$ and some subspace U of V .

• For $v \in V$ and U a subspace of V , the affine subset $v+U$ is said to be **parallel** to U .

3.82 EX: $U = \{(x, 2x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ as a example 3.80

Then all lines in \mathbb{R}^2 with slope of 2 are parallel to U .

• Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ and $U = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Then the affine subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 are all the planes in \mathbb{R}^3 that are all the planes in \mathbb{R}^3 that are parallel

to U . For example, $(0, 0, 2) + U = \{(x, y, 2) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$

is an affine subset of \mathbb{R}^3 and is parallel to U .

3.82 $U \subset V$, **quotient space** V/U is the set of all affine subsets of V parallel to U .

$$V/U = \{v+U : v \in V\}$$

3.84 EX: • $U = \{(x, 2x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ \mathbb{R}^2/U is the set of all lines in \mathbb{R}^2 that have slope 2.

• U is a line in \mathbb{R}^3 containing the origin, then \mathbb{R}^3/U is the set of all \mathbb{R}^3 parallel to U

f.e. $U_1 = \{(x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$$\mathbb{R}^3/U_1 = \{(0, 0, z) + U_1 = (x, y, z)\}$$

$$U_2 = \{(0, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^3/U_2 = \{(x, 0, 0) + U_2 : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

3.85 Two affine subsets parallel to U are equal or disjoint.

Suppose U is a subspace of V and $v, w \in V$. Then the following are **equivalent**:

(a) $v - w \in U$.

(b) $v+U = w+U$.

(c) $(v+U) \cap (w+U) \neq \emptyset$

Proof: Want (a) \rightarrow (b):

Suppose $v-w \in U$. for $v, w \in V$ and $U \subset V$.

let $u \in U$

we have $(v-w)+u \in U$ closed under addition

then $v+u = w+v-w+u$

$$= w + ((v-w)+u) \in w+U.$$

Therefore $v+U \subset w+U$.

Similarly, $\forall u \in U$,

$$w+u = v+w-v+u$$

$$= v + ((-v+w)+u) \in v+U.$$

Thus $w+U \subset v+U$

Hence $w+U = v+U$

Want: (b) \rightarrow (c).

Suppose $v+U = w+U$

Then $\exists u \in U$ s.t. $u+v \in v+U$
and $u+v \in w+U$

So $v+u \in (v+U) \cap (w+U)$

Thus $(v+U) \cap (w+U) \neq \emptyset$

Want: (c) \rightarrow (a)

Suppose $(v+U) \cap (w+U) \neq \emptyset$

then $\exists u_1, u_2 \in U$ s.t. $v+u_1 = w+u_2$

Since $U \subset V$, it is closed under addition and scalar mulp., which means

$u_1 - u_2 \in U$. In fact,

$$v-w = u_2 - u_1$$

$$= -(u_1 - u_2) \in U$$

3.86 let $U \subset V$.

• Addition: is defined on V/U by $(v+U) + (w+U) = (v+w)+U$

• Scalar multiplication: is defined on V/U by

$$\lambda(v+U) = (\lambda v)+U.$$

3.87. Quotient space is a vector space

V/U is a vector space.

Proof: let $v, w \in V$ be arbitrary.

first, we need to show that the operations of addition and scalar multiplication make sense on V/U .

Suppose $\hat{v}, \hat{w} \in V$ satisfy $v+U = \hat{v}+U$, $w+U = \hat{w}+U$.

First, we will show that addition makes sense on V/U .

Since U is a subspace of V , it is closed under addition, so

$$(v+\hat{v}) - (w+\hat{w}) \in U.$$

By 3.85 $(v+\hat{v})+U = (w+\hat{w})+U$

Thus addition makes sense on V/U .

Now let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, $\hat{v} \in V$ satisfies $v+U = \hat{v}+U$.

by 3.85 of Axler, $v-\hat{v} \in U$ since $U \subset V$

it is closed under scalar multiplication, which means $\lambda(v-\hat{v}) \in U$

So $\lambda v - \lambda \hat{v} = \lambda(v-\hat{v}) \in U$.

by 3.83 $\lambda v + U = \lambda \hat{v} + U$.

So, scalar multiplication makes sense on V/U .

Next, we will show that V/U satisfies all axioms of a vector space.

let $v, w, x \in V$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

1. Commutativity: $(v+U) + (w+U) = (v+w)+U = (w+v)+U = (w+U) + (v+U)$

2. Associativity: $((v+U) + (w+U)) + (x+U) = ((v+w)+U) + (x+U) = (v+(w+x))+U = (v+U) + ((w+U) + (x+U))$

3. Additivity identity: $(v+U) + (0+U) = (v+0)+U = v+U$

4. Additivity inverse: $(v+U) + (-v+U) = (v+(-v))+U = 0+U$

5. Multiplicative identity: $1(v+U) = (1v)+U = v+U$.

6. Distributive property: $a(v+U) + (b+U) = a(v+w)+U = (a(v+w))+U = (av+aw)+U = (av+U) + (aw+U)$

and $(a+b)(v+U) = (av+U) + (bv+U) = a(v+U) + b(v+U)$

3.88. quotient map, π .

Suppose $U \subset V$. The quotient map π is the linear map $\pi: V \rightarrow V/U$ defined by.

$$\pi(v) = v + U \quad \text{for } v \in V.$$

3.89 $\dim V/U = \dim V - \dim U$.

Proof: let $\pi: V \rightarrow V/U$.

Claim: $\text{null } \pi = U$.

Since $v - 0 = v \in U$ so by 3.83 of Axler,

$$v + U = 0 + U.$$

In fact, $\pi(v) = v + U = 0 + U$.

so, $v \in \text{null } \pi$, and so $U \subset \text{null } \pi$.

If $v \in \text{null } \pi$, then $\pi(v) = 0 + U$.

Since we also have $\pi(v) = v + U$,

we conclude, $v + U = 0 + U$.

By 3.83, $v = v - 0 \in U$.

So $\text{null } \pi \subset U$.

Therefore $\text{null } \pi = U$.

Claim 2: $\text{range } \pi = V/U$.

For all, $v \in V/U$.

let $w = \pi(v)$ for some $v \in U$.

In fact, by 3.88

$$w = \pi(v)$$

$$= v + U \in V/U.$$

So $\text{range } \pi \subset V/U$.

Suppose $v + U \in V/U$.

By 3.88

$$v + U = \pi(v) \in \text{range } (\pi)$$

So $V/U \subset \text{range } \pi$.

Thus $\text{range } \pi = V/U$.

By Theorem
Fund. $\dim V = \dim \text{null } \pi + \dim \text{range } \pi$

$$\dim V = \dim U + \dim V/U$$

$$\dim V/U = \dim V - \dim U.$$

3.90 $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ $\tilde{T}: V/(\text{null } T) \rightarrow W$

$$\tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T) = Tv$$

Proof: Suppose $u, v \in V$ satisfy $u = \text{null } T = v + \text{null } T$.

By 3.85 of Axler, $u - v \in \text{null } T$.

$$\text{So, } T(u - v) = Tu - Tv = 0.$$

$$\text{Thus } Tu = Tv$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \tilde{T}(u + \text{null } T) = Tu = Tv = \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T)$$

So \tilde{T} is well-defined.

3.91 Null space and range of \tilde{T}

Note: $\tilde{T}: V/\text{null } T \rightarrow W$

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then

(a) \tilde{T} is a linear map from $V/(\text{null } T)$ to W .

(b) \tilde{T} is injective

(c) $\text{range } \tilde{T} = \text{range } T$

(d) $V/(\text{null } T)$ is isomorphic to $\text{range } T$.

Proof: (a)

Let $u, v \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\text{Additivity: } \tilde{T}((u + \text{null } T) + (v + \text{null } T)) = \tilde{T}((u + v) + \text{null } T) = T(u + v) = Tu + Tv = \tilde{T}(u + \text{null } T) + \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T)$$

$$\text{Homogeneity: } \tilde{T}(\lambda(v + \text{null } T)) = \tilde{T}(\lambda v + \text{null } T) = T(\lambda v) = \lambda Tv = \lambda \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T)$$

$$\text{Hence, } \tilde{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V/\text{null } T, W)$$

(b) Suppose $v \in V$ satisfy $\tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T) = 0$

$$\text{Then } Tv = \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T) = 0$$

$$\text{Thus } v \in \text{null } T.$$

$$\text{By 3.85 } v + \text{null } T = 0 + \text{null } T.$$

$$\text{So } \text{null } \tilde{T} \subset \{0 + \text{null } T\}$$

$$\text{Since } \tilde{T} \text{ is linear, } \{0 + \text{null } T\} \subset \text{null } \tilde{T}$$

$$\text{So } \tilde{T} = \{0 + \text{null } T\}$$

Thus, \tilde{T} is injective.

(c) suppose $w \in \text{range } T$ then $w = Tv$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for some } v \in V, \quad w &= Tv \\ &= \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T) \in \text{range } \tilde{T} \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{range } T \subset \text{range } \tilde{T}$

Suppose $x \in \text{range } \tilde{T}$ Then $x = \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T)$ for some $v \in V$

$$x = \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T) = Tv \in \text{range } T$$

So, $\text{range } \tilde{T} \subset \text{range } T$.

Therefore $\text{range } T = \text{range } \tilde{T}$.

(d) By part (c)

$\tilde{T}: V/(\text{null } T) \rightarrow \text{range } T$ is surjective.

So \tilde{T} is surjective.

by part (b), it is invertible.

by part (a), \tilde{T} is an isomorphism

So $V/(\text{null } T)$ and $\text{range } \tilde{T}$ are isomorphic.

That is $V/(\text{null } T)$ and $\text{range } T$ are isomorphic.

3E: $\Gamma: U_1 \times \dots \times U_m \rightarrow U_1 + \dots + U_m$

$$v + U = \{v + u : u \in U\}$$

$$V/U = \{v + U : v \in V\}$$

$$\pi: V \rightarrow V/U.$$

$$\tilde{T}: V/(\text{null } T) \rightarrow W \quad \tilde{T}(v + \text{null } T) = Tv$$