

Extra Credit Lecture

Chapter 5

Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors & Invariant Subspaces

5. A.

Invariant Subspaces

5.2 Definition

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A subspace U of V is called invariant under T if $u \in U$ implies $Tu \in U$.

5.3 Example

- $\{0\}$: If $u \in \{0\}$, then $u = 0$. So we have $Tu = T(0) = 0$

So $\{0\}$ is invariant under T .

- V : If $u \in V$, then $Tu \in V$, so V is invariant under T .
- $\text{null } T$: If $u \in \text{null } T$, then $Tu = 0$, so we have $T(Tu) = T(0) = 0$

So $Tu \in \text{null } T$, and so $\text{null } T$ is invariant under T .

• $\text{range } T$: If $u \in \text{range } T$, then $u = Tv$ for some $v \in V$.

So we have $Tu = T(Tv)$. Since $Tv \in V$, we get $Tu \in \text{range } T$. So

$\text{range } T$ is invariant under T .

¶

Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

5.5 Definition

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an eigenvalue of T if there exists $v \in V$ such that $v \neq 0$ and $Tv = \lambda v$.

Such a vector $v \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

5.6 Equivalent conditions to be an eigenvalue

Suppose V is finite dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- λ is an eigenvalue of T
- $T - \lambda I$ is not injective
- $T - \lambda I$ is not surjective
- $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible

Proof:

(a) is equivalent to (b) ((a) implies (b), & (b) implies (a))

The equation $Tv = \lambda v$ is equivalent to the equation $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$

$Tv = \lambda v$ iff $Tv - \lambda v = 0$ iff $Tv - \lambda I v = 0$ iff $(T - \lambda I)(v) = 0$, $v \neq 0$ so, $(T - \lambda I)$ not injective.

(b), (c), (d) are equivalent to each other

By 3.6q of Axler, the following are equivalent:

- $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible
- $T - \lambda I$ is not injective
- $T - \lambda I$ is not surjective

5.7 Definition

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ & $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of T . A vector $v \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T corresponding to λ if $v \neq 0$ & $Tv = \lambda v$

5.8 Example

Define ~~operator~~ $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ by $T(w, z) = (-z, w)$

Find the eigenvalues & eigenvectors of T

Solution:

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $T(w, z) = \lambda(w, z)$

Since $T(w, z) = (-z, w)$, we obtain $(-z, w) = \lambda(w, z)$

$$= (\lambda w, \lambda z)$$

From $(-z, w) = (\lambda w, \lambda z)$ we get a system of equations

$$-z = \lambda w \quad \text{and} \quad w = \lambda z$$

Substitute $w = \lambda z$ into $-z = \lambda w$, we get

$$-z = \lambda w$$

$$= \lambda(\lambda z)$$

$$= \lambda^2 z$$

If $z = 0$, then $w = 0$, & $(w, z) = (0, 0)$. If (w, z) is an eigenvector of T , then

$z \neq 0$ so $-z = \lambda^2 z$ implies $-1 = \lambda^2$ or $\lambda = i$, $\lambda = -i$

So $i, -i$ are our eigenvalues of T

$$\lambda = i$$

$$\lambda = -i$$

$$T(w, z) = i(w, z)$$

$$T(w, z) = -i(w, z)$$

$$(-z, w) = (iw, iz)$$

$$(-z, w) = (iw, -iz)$$

$$\text{So } -z = iw$$

$$\text{So } -z = -iw$$

$$w = iz$$

$$w = -iz$$

Therefore,

$$(w, z) = (w, -iw)$$

is an eigenvector of T for ~~all $w \in \mathbb{C}$~~

all $w \in \mathbb{C}$, corresponding to $\lambda = i$

Therefore,

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

is an eigenvector of T corresponding to $\lambda = -i$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$

Alternate method: Find $M(T) = M(T, \text{standard basis of } \mathbb{C}, \text{standard basis of } \mathbb{C}^2)$

Find $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ that satisfy $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} aw+bc \\ cw+dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a=0, b=-1, c=1, d=0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} cw + (-1)z \\ bw + dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So } M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(T - \lambda I) = M(T) - \lambda M(I)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(M(T - \lambda I)) = 0$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$\lambda = i \quad \lambda = -i$$

$$M(T - iI)(w, z) = (0, 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-iw - z = 0$$

$$w + iz = 0$$

$$-z = iw \quad w = -iz$$

$$M(T - (-i)I)(w, z) = (0, 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$iw - z = 0$$

$$w + iz = 0$$

$$z = iw \quad w = -iz$$

$$(w, z) = (w, -iw)$$

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

5.10 Linearly independent eigenvectors

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvectors of T & v_1, \dots, v_m are the corresponding eigenvectors. Then v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly dependent.

Proof:

Suppose by contradiction that v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly dependant. By the Linear Dependence Lemma (2.2) of Axler, there exists

the smallest positive integer $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $v_k \notin \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$.

So there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}$

So we have $Tv_k = T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1})$

$$= a_1 T v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} T v_{k-1}$$

$$\star = a_1 (\lambda v_1) + \dots + a_{k-1} (\lambda v_{k-1})$$

Multiply by λ^k both sides of $v_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}$ to write \star

$$T v_k = \lambda^k v_k = a_1 \lambda^k v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda^k v_{k-1} = \star$$

because v_k is an eigenvector of T

$$\text{So we get } 0 = T v_k - \star$$

$$= (a_1 \lambda^k v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda^k v_{k-1}) - (a_1 \lambda v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda v_{k-1})$$

$$= a_1 (\lambda^k - \lambda) v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} (\lambda^k - \lambda) v_{k-1}$$

Since k is the smallest positive integer for which $v_k \notin \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$ it follows that v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} is linearly independent. So we get

follows that v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} is linearly independent. So we get

$$a_1 (\lambda^k - \lambda) = 0, \dots, a_{k-1} (\lambda^k - \lambda) = 0$$

But $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct, so $a_1 = 0, \dots, a_{k-1} = 0$

So each v_n is zero, which contradicts our hypothesis that v_n is an eigenvector. So v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent. \square