

5A Invariant Subspaces

Defn 5.2 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A subspace U of V is called invariant under T if $u \in U$ implies $Tu \in U$.

Ex 5.3 • $\{0\}$: If $u \in \{0\}$, then $u = 0$. So we have

$$Tu = T(0)$$

$$= 0$$

$\in \{0\}$. So $\{0\}$ is invariant under T .

• V : If $u \in V$, then $Tu \in V$.

So V is invariant under T .

• $\text{null } T$: If $u \in \text{null } T$, then $Tu = 0$. So we have

$$T(Tu) = T(0)$$

$$= 0$$

So $Tu \in \text{null } T$, and so $\text{null } T$ is invariant under T .

• $\text{range } T$: If $u \in \text{range } T$, then $u = Tv$ for some $v \in V$.

So we have $Tu = T(Tv)$.

Since $Tv \in V$, we get $Tu \in \text{range } T$.

So $\text{range } T$ is invariant under T .

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Defn 5.5 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an eigenvalue of T if there exists $v \in V$ such that $v \neq 0$ and $Tv = \lambda v$. Such a vector $v \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

5.6 Equivalent conditions to be an eigenvalue

Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

Then the following are equivalent:

(a): λ is an eigenvalue of T

(b): $T - \lambda I$ is not injective

(c): $T - \lambda I$ is not surjective

(d): $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible

proof: (a) is equivalent to (b): (a) implies (b), and (b) implies (a)

The equation $Tv = \lambda v$ is equivalent to the equation

Since $v \neq 0$, we conclude that $T - \lambda I$ is not

$T - \lambda I$ is not injective. $(b) \implies (a)$ $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$

injective, $Tv = \lambda v$, so λ is an eigenvalue of T . Conversely, since

$Tv = \lambda v$, iff $Tv - \lambda v = 0$, iff $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$, iff $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$.

(b), (c), (d) are equivalent to each other.
 By 3.62 of Axler, the following are equivalent:
 $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible,
 $T - \lambda I$ is not injective,
 and $T - \lambda I$ is not surjective.

Defn 5.7 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of T . A vector $v \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T corresponding to λ if $v \neq 0$ and $Tv = \lambda v$.

Ex 5.8 Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ by $T(w, z) = (-z, w)$. Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T .

Soln: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfy $T(w, z) = \lambda(w, z)$.

Since $T(w, z) = (-z, w)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-z, w) &= \lambda(w, z) \\ &= (\lambda w, \lambda z). \end{aligned}$$

From $(-z, w) = (\lambda w, \lambda z)$, we get a system of equations

$$-z = \lambda w.$$

$$w = \lambda z.$$

Substitute $w = \lambda z$ into $-z = \lambda w$, we get

$$-z = \lambda w$$

$$= \lambda(\lambda z)$$

$$= \lambda^2 z.$$

If $z = 0$, then $w = 0$, so $(w, z) = (0, 0)$. If (w, z) is an eigenvector of T , then $z \neq 0$.

So $-z = \lambda^2 z$ implies $-1 = \lambda^2$ or $\lambda = i, \lambda = -i$.

So $i, -i$ are eigenvalues of T .

$\lambda = i$

$$T(w, z) = i(w, z)$$

$$\text{implies } (-z, w) = (iw, iz)$$

$$\text{so } -z = iw$$

$$w = iz.$$

Therefore,

$$(w, z) = (w, -iw)$$

is an eigenvector of T corresponding to $\lambda = i$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

$\lambda = -i$

$$T(w, z) = -i(w, z)$$

$$\text{implies } (-z, w) = (-iw, -iz)$$

$$\text{so } -z = -iw,$$

$$w = -iz$$

$$z = iw$$

Therefore,

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

is an eigenvector of T corresponding to $\lambda = -i$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

Alternate Method for (Ex 5.8)

Find $M(T) = M(T)$ standard basis of \mathbb{C}^2 , standard basis of \mathbb{C}^2

Find $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$ that satisfy

$$\text{So } M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} aw + bz \\ cw + dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a=0, b=-1, c=1, d=0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0w + (-1)z \\ (1)w + 0z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(T - \lambda I) = M(T) - \lambda M(I)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(M(T - \lambda I)) = 0$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$\lambda = i, \lambda = -i$$

$$M(T - iI)(w, z) = (0, 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-iw - z = 0$$

$$w - iz = 0$$

$$-z = iw$$

$$w = iz$$

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

$$M(T - (-i)I)(w, z) = (0, 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$iw - z = 0$$

$$w + iz = 0$$

$$z = iw$$

$$w = -iz$$

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

5.10 Linearly Independent eigenvectors

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvalues of T and v_1, \dots, v_m are the corresponding eigenvectors. Then v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent.

proof: Suppose by contradiction that v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly dependent. By the Linear Dependence Lemma (2.21 of Axler), there exists the smallest positive integer $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

so there exist $V_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$
 $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$V_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

so we have

$$T V_k = T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1})$$

$$= a_1 T v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} T v_{k-1}$$

$$= a_1 (\lambda_1 v_1) + \dots + a_{k-1} (\lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1}) = \star a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

Multiply by λ_k both sides of $V_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}$ to

write $\star \star T V_k = \lambda_k V_k = a_1 \lambda_k v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_k v_{k-1}$

because V_k is an eigenvector of T .

so we get $\star - \star \star$

$$0 = T V_k - T V_k$$

$$= (a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1}) - (a_1 \lambda_k v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_k v_{k-1})$$

$$= a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_k) v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k) v_{k-1}$$

$\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_k$ $\lambda_{k-1} \neq \lambda_k$ b/c we assumed the eigenvalues are distinct

Since k is the smallest positive integer for which $V_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$, it follows that v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} is linearly independent. So we get

$$a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_k) = 0, \dots, a_{k-1} (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k) = 0$$

But $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct. So

$$a_1 = 0, \dots, a_{k-1} = 0$$

So each V_k is zero, which contradicts one hypothesis that V_k is an eigenvector.

So v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent. \square