

EXTRA CREDIT LECTURE CHAPTER 5

Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, and Invariant Subspaces5A Invariant Subspaces5.2 Definition

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A subspace U of V is called invariant under T , if $u \in U$ implies $Tu \in U$.

5.3 Example

- $\{0\}$: If $u \in \{0\}$, then $u=0$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tu &= T(0) \\ &= 0 \in \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

So $\{0\}$ is invariant under T .

- V : If $u \in V$, then $Tu \in V$

So V is invariant under T .

- null T : If $u \in \text{null } T$, then $Tu=0$. So we have

$$T(Tu) = T(0)$$

$$= 0$$

So $Tu \in \text{null } T$, and so $\text{null } T$ is invariant under T .

- range T : If $u \in \text{range } T$, then $u = Tv$ for some $v \in V$

So we have

$$Tu = T(Tv)$$

Since $Tv \in V$, we get $Tu \in \text{range } T$.

So $\text{range } T$ is invariant under T .

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors5.5 Definition

- Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an eigenvalue of T if there exists $v \in V$ such that $v \neq 0$ and $Tv = \lambda v$.
- Such a vector $v \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T corresponding ~~to~~ to the eigenvalue λ .

5.6 Equivalent conditions to be an eigenvalue

Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) λ is an eigenvalue of T

(b) $T - \lambda I$ is not injective

(c) $T - \lambda I$ is not surjective

(d) $T - \lambda I$ is not invertible

$$\begin{aligned} &Tv = \lambda v \\ \text{iff } &Tv - \lambda v = 0 \\ \text{iff } &Tv - \lambda Iv = 0 \\ \text{iff } &(T - \lambda I)(v) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof: (a) is equivalent to (b): ((a) implies (b), and (b) implies (a))

(a) implies (b) The equation: $Tv = \lambda v$ is equivalent to the equation $(T - \lambda I)v = 0$ (b) (b) implies (a) converse by, since $T - \lambda I$ is not injective. $Tv = \lambda v$ so λ is an eigenvalue of T (a)

since $v \neq 0$, we conclude that $T - \lambda I$ is not injective. (b), (c), (d) are equivalent to each other

By 3.69 of Axler, the following are equivalent:

$T - \lambda I$ is not invertible

$T - \lambda I$ is not injective

and $T - \lambda I$ is not surjective

5.7 Definition

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of T . A vector $v \in V$ is called an eigenvector of T corresponding to λ if $v \neq 0$ and $Tv = \lambda v$

5.8 Example Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$$T(w, z) = (z, w)$$

Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T

Solution: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ satisfy

$$T(w, z) = \lambda(w, z)$$

Since $T(w, z) = (z, w)$, we obtain

$$(z, w) = \lambda(w, z)$$

$$= (\lambda w, \lambda z)$$

From $(z, w) = (\lambda w, \lambda z)$, we get a system of equations

$$z = \lambda w$$

$$w = \lambda z$$

Substitute ~~the second~~ $w = \lambda z$ into $z = \lambda w$, we get

$$z = \lambda w$$

$$= \lambda(\lambda z)$$

$$= \lambda^2 z$$

If $z = 0$, then $w = 0$, so $(w, z) = (0, 0)$. If (w, z) is an eigenvector of T , then $z \neq 0$

so $z = \lambda^2 z$ implies $-1 = \lambda^2$, or

$$\lambda = i, \lambda = -i$$

so $i, -i$ are our eigenvalues of T

$$\lambda = i$$

$$T(w, z) = i(w, z)$$

implies

$$(z, w) = (iw, iz)$$

$$\text{so } z = iw$$

$$w = iz$$

Therefore

$$(w, z) = (w, -iw)$$

is an eigenvector of T for $\lambda = i$, for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\lambda = -i$$

$$T(w, z) = -i(w, z)$$

implies

$$(z, w) = (-iz, -iw)$$

so

$$z = -iw$$

$$w = iz$$

Therefore

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

is an eigenvector of T corresponding to $\lambda = -i$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$

~~Alternate method~~ Alternate method: Find

$$M(T) = M \left(T, \begin{matrix} \text{standard basis} \\ \text{of } \mathbb{C}^2 \end{matrix}, \begin{matrix} \text{standard basis} \\ \text{of } \mathbb{C}^2 \end{matrix} \right)$$

$$\text{so } M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} aw + bz \\ cw + dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a = 0, \quad b = -1$$

$$c = 1, \quad d = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0w + (-1)z \\ 1w + 0z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(T - (-2)I)(w, z) = (0, 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & -1 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$iw - z = 0$$

$$w + iz = 0$$

$$z = iw$$

$$w = -iz$$

$$(w, z) = (w, iw)$$

$$M(T - \lambda I) = M(T) - \lambda M(I)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(M(T - \lambda I)) = 0$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$\lambda = i, \lambda = -i$$

$$M(T - iI)(w, z) = (0, 0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$-iw - z = 0$$

$$w + z = 0$$

$$-z = iw$$

$$w = -iz$$

$$(w, z) = (w, -iw)$$

5.10 Linearly Independent eigenvectors

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Suppose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct eigenvalues of T and $v_1, \dots, v_m$ are the corresponding eigenvectors. Then $v_1, \dots, v_m$ is linearly independent.

Proof: Suppose ~~by contradiction~~ by contradiction that $v_1, \dots, v_m$ is linearly dependent. By the Linear Dependence Lemma (2.21 of Axler), there exists the smallest positive integer $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$v_k \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$$

So there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$v_k = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

So we have

$$Tv_k = T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} v_{k-1})$$

$$= a_1 Tv_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} Tv_{k-1}$$

$$= a_1 (\lambda_1 v_1) + \dots + a_{k-1} (\lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1})$$

$$= a_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

Multiply by λ_k both sides of $V_k = a_1 V_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} V_{k-1}$ to write

$$\star\star \quad T V_k = \lambda_k V_k = a_1 \lambda_k V_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_k V_{k-1}$$

~~get~~

V_k is an
eigenvector of T

so we get

$$0 = T V_k - T V_k$$

$$\stackrel{\star\star}{=} -A V_k$$

$$= (a_1 \lambda_1 V_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} V_{k-1}) - (a_1 \lambda_k V_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \lambda_k V_{k-1})$$

$$= a_1 \underbrace{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)}_{\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_k} V_1 + \dots + a_{k-1} \underbrace{(\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)}_{\lambda_{k-1} \neq \lambda_k} V_{k-1}$$

we assumed that eigenvectors
are distinct

since k is the smallest positive integer for which $V_k \in \text{span}(V_1, \dots, V_{k-1})$, it follows that $V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}$ is linearly independent. so we get

$$a_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_k) = 0, \dots, a_{k-1} (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k) = 0$$

but $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are distinct. so

$$a_1 = 0, \dots, a_{k-1} = 0$$

So each V_k is zero, which contradicts our hypothesis that V_k is an eigenvector

so $V_1, \dots, V_m$ is linearly independent

