## Homework 2 solutions

## 1. (Chapter 1, Problem 2) Let A be a countable set of real numbers. Use the definition of outer measure to show $m^*(A) = 0$ .

*Proof.* We can assume that *A* is countably infinite, because the argument for the case of *A* being finite is similar. Since *A* is countable, there exists a one-to-one correspondence of each element in *A* with the natural numbers. So we can let  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of points  $x_k \in A$  that enumerate the countable set *A*. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider the closed interval  $I_k := [x_k - \frac{\epsilon}{2k+2}, x_k + \frac{\epsilon}{2k+2}]$ , and consider the collection of closed intervals  $S = \{I_k\}$ . Then we have

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(I_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \left( x_k + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+1}} \right) - \left( x_k - \frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+2}} \right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\epsilon}{2^{k+2}}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot 1$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$< \epsilon,$$

which implies the upper bound of the Lebesgue outer measure

$$m^*(A) = \inf \{ \sigma(S) : S \text{ is a covering of } A \text{ by closed intervals} \}$$
  
$$\leq \sigma(S)$$
  
$$< \epsilon.$$

Finally, since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude  $m^*(A) = 0$ .

## 2. (Chapter 1, Problem 3) Let S and T be coverings of a set A by intervals.

(a) Explain why  $S \cup T$  is also a covering of A by intervals.

*Proof.* Since *S*, *T* are coverings of *A* by intervals, we can write  $S = \{I_k\}$  and  $T = \{J_k\}$ , where we have  $A \subseteq \bigcup_k I_k$  and  $A \subseteq \bigcup_k J_k$ . Then we have  $A \subseteq (\bigcup_k I_k) \cup (\bigcup_k J_k) = \bigcup_k (I_k \cup J_k)$ . In other words, if we write  $S \cup T = \{\bigcup_k (I_k \cup J_k)\}$ , then  $S \cup T$  is a covering of *A* by intervals.

 $\sigma$ 

(b) Show that  $\sigma(S \cup T) \leq \sigma(S) + \sigma(T)$ .

Proof. If intervals are closed: We have

$$(S \cup T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(I_k \cup J_k)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(I_k \cup J_k)$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (m^*(I_k) + m^*(J_k))$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (v(I_k) + v(J_k))$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v(J_k)$$
$$= \sigma(S) + \sigma(T)$$

3. (Chapter 1, Problem 4) Show that for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and fixed k, the set (known as a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

$$A = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_k = c\}$$

has Lebesgue outer measure 0.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. For all  $l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  and some fixed  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ , consider the closed interval  $I_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $I_l := \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : -l \le x_i \le l, i = 1, \ldots, k-1, k+1, \ldots, n\} \times \left[c - \frac{\epsilon}{2^{l+2}(2l)^{n-1}}, c + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{l+2}(2l)^{n-1}}\right].$ 

and consider the set  $S = \{I_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma(S) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} v(I_l) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (l - (-l)) \right) \left( c + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{l+2} (2l)^{n-1}} - \left( c - \frac{\epsilon}{2^{l+2} (2l)^{n-1}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (2l) \right) \frac{2\epsilon}{2^{l+2} (2l)^{n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (2l)^{n-1} \frac{\epsilon}{2^{l+1} (2l)^{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{l}} \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot 1 \\ &< \epsilon, \end{split}$$

which implies the upper bound of the Lebesgue outer measure

$$m^*(A) = \inf \{ \sigma(S) : S \text{ is a covering of } A \text{ by closed intervals} \}$$
  
$$\leq \sigma(S)$$
  
$$< \epsilon.$$

Finally, since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude  $m^*(A) = 0$ .

- 4. (Chapter 1, Problem 5) Suppose A and B are both Lebesgue measurable. Prove that if both A and B have measure zero, then  $A \cup B$  is Lebesgue measurable and  $m(A \cup B) = 0$ .
  - (a) Do this directly from the definition.

Proof. We have

$$0 \le m^*(A \cup B)$$
  
 $\le m^*(A) + m^*(B)$   
 $= 0 + 0$   
 $= 0,$ 

which implies  $m^*(A \cup B) = 0$ . So  $A \cup B$  is Lebesgue measurable and satisfies  $m(A \cup B) = 0$ . By subadditivity of the Lebesgue outer measure, we have

$$0 \le m(A \cup B) = m^{*}(A \cup B) \le m^{*}(A) + m^{*}(B) = m(A) + m(B) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

which implies  $m(A \cup B) = 0$ .

(b) Give a shorter proof by using Theorem 1.2.5.

$$0 \le m(A \cup B)$$
  
$$\le m(A) + m(B)$$
  
$$= 0 + 0$$
  
$$= 0,$$

which implies  $m(A \cup B) = 0$ .

5. (Chapter 1, Problem 6) Suppose A has Lebesgue measure zero and  $B \subseteq A$ . Prove B is Lebesgue measurable and m(B) = 0.

*Proof.* Since A has Lebesgue measure zero, there exists an open set G so that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $m^*(G \setminus A) < \epsilon$ . So we have  $B \subseteq A \subseteq G$  and  $G \setminus B = (G \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus B)$  (disjoint union). Using the subadditivity of the Lebesuge outer measure, we obtain

$$m^*(G \setminus B) = m^*((G \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus B))$$
  

$$\leq m^*(G \setminus A) + m^*(A \setminus B)$$
  

$$< \epsilon + m^*(A \setminus B)$$
  

$$\leq \epsilon + m^*(A)$$
  

$$= \epsilon + m(A)$$
  

$$= \epsilon + 0$$
  

$$= \epsilon.$$

which means B is Lebesgue measurable. Also, we have

$$0 \le m(B)$$
  
=  $m^*(B)$   
 $\le m^*(A)$   
=  $m(A)$   
= 0,

which implies m(B) = 0.

## 6. (Chapter 1, Problem 11) Let A be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define A + c to be the set

$$A + c = \{x + c \mid x \in A\}$$

(a) Prove  $m^*(A + c) = m^*(A)$ .

*Proof.* Let *S* and *S<sub>c</sub>* be respective coverings of *A* and of *A* + *c* by closed intervals. Then we can write *S* := {*I<sub>k</sub>*}, where *I<sub>k</sub>* are closed intervals with  $A \subseteq \bigcup_k I_k$ . If we write  $S_c := \{I_k + c\}$ , then  $I_k + c$  are also closed intervals with  $A + c \subseteq \bigcup_k (I_k + c)$ , and so *S<sub>c</sub>* is a covering of A + c by closed intervals. So we have

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_{k} v(I_k)$$
$$= \sum_{k} v(I_k + c)$$
$$= \sigma(S_c).$$

As a result, we obtain

 $m^*(A) = \inf\{\sigma(S) : S \text{ is a covering of } A \text{ by closed intervals}\}$  $\leq \sigma(S)$  $= \sigma(S_c),$ 

from which we can take infimums over  $S_c$  to obtain

$$m^{*}(A) = \inf\{m^{*}(A) : S \text{ is a covering of } A + c \text{ by closed intervals}\} \\\leq \inf\{\sigma(S_{c}) : S \text{ is a covering of } A + c \text{ by closed intervals}\} \\= m^{*}(A + c).$$

Likewise, we obtain

 $m^*(A + c) = \inf\{\sigma(S_c) : S_c \text{ is a covering of } A + c \text{ by closed intervals}\}$  $\leq \sigma(S_c)$  $= \sigma(S),$ 

from which we can take infimums over  $S_c$  to obtain

$$m^*(A+c) = \inf\{m^*(A+c) : S_c \text{ is a covering of } A+c \text{ by closed intervals}\}$$
  
$$\leq \inf\{\sigma(S) : S \text{ is a covering of } A \text{ by closed intervals}\}$$
  
$$= m^*(A).$$

So we have  $m^*(A) \le m^*(A+c)$  and  $m^*(A) \ge m^*(A+c)$ , which together imply  $m^*(A) = m^*(A+c)$ .

(b) Prove that A + c is Lebesgue measurable if and only if A is Lebesgue measurable.

*Proof.* Suppose that A + c is Lebesgue measurable. Then there exists an open set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  so that  $A + c \subseteq G$  and  $m^*(G \setminus (A + c)) < \epsilon$ . Define the set  $G + c := \{x + c : x \in G\}$ , which is also an open set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$(G-c) \setminus A = \{x - c : x - c \in (G-c) \setminus A\},\$$
$$= \{x : x \in G \setminus (A+c)\}\$$
$$= G \setminus (A+c),$$

which implies, along with part (a),

$$m^*((G-c) \setminus A) = m^*(G \setminus (A+c))$$
  
<  $\epsilon$ .

So *A* is Lebesgue measurable.

Conversely, suppose that *A* is Lebesgue measurable. Then there exists an open set  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  so that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $m^*(G \setminus A) < \epsilon$ . Define the set  $G + c := \{x + c : x \in G\}$ , which is also an open set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$(G+c) \setminus (A+c) = \{x+c : x \in (G+c) \setminus (A+c)\}$$
$$= \{x : x \in G \setminus A\}$$
$$= G \setminus A,$$

which implies, along with part (a),

$$m^*((G+c) \setminus (A+c)) = m^*(G \setminus A)$$
  
<  $\epsilon$ .

So A + c is Lebesgue measurable.